

OFFICE OF FISCAL ANALYSIS

Legislative Office Building, Room 5200
Hartford, CT 06106 ◊ (860) 240-0200
<http://www.cga.ct.gov/ofa>

sSB-1226

AN ACT CONCERNING STATE VOTING RIGHTS IN RECOGNITION OF JOHN R. LEWIS.

AMENDMENT

LCO No.: 8791

File Copy No.: 610

Senate Calendar No.: 364

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 24 \$	FY 25 \$
Secretary of the State	GF - Cost	1,916,625	1,115,306
State Comptroller - Fringe Benefits ¹	GF - Cost	263,822	263,822

Note: GF=General Fund

Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 24 \$	FY 25 \$
Various Municipalities	Potential Cost	Significant	Significant

Explanation

The amendment is a strike-all amendment. The amendment would result in an estimated total cost to the state of \$2,180,447 in FY 24 and \$1,379,128 in FY 25. The amendment would also result in significant cost to various municipalities, Some costs for UConn Voter Center and the Office of the Secretary of the state. The amendment generally codifies into state law several aspects of the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965

¹The fringe benefit costs for most state employees are budgeted centrally in accounts administered by the Comptroller. The estimated active employee fringe benefit cost associated with most personnel changes is 42.82% of payroll in FY 24.

Primary Analyst: MT
Contributing Analyst(s):

5/25/23
(RC)

which bans discrimination in voting and elections and establishes a mechanism for certain jurisdictions with a history of discrimination against racial and language minorities to seek preapproval before changing their election laws.

The amendment, which requires the Secretary of the State (SOTS) to establish and maintain a database containing a range of elections and demographic data, under the bill this would have been done through a private vendor but will now be done through the UCONN voter center. The UConn voter center receives the entirety of its funding from the Office of the Secretary of State. The amendment empowers the Secretary of State to partner with the University of Connecticut or the University of Connecticut system to fulfill the obligations of section 3. To facilitate this the University of Connecticut would require additional full-time positions and graduate positions to do so. This would result in costs in FY 24 of \$775,506 in FY 24 and \$474,187 in FY 25.

There is also a cost for two additional staff for the Secretary of State's office: 1) one Manager of the statewide database, as required in the amendment, with an annual salary of \$110,000 and associated fringe of \$47,102, and 2) one IT Analyst with an annual salary of \$92,372 and associated fringe of \$39,554.

The amendment also requires SOTS to make determinations of certain municipal plans intended to protect specified classes of electors. This determination process may include various municipalities simultaneously in the years following a redistricting or court litigation. This is estimated to result in an annualized cost to SOTS of \$413,747 for four additional staff and associated fringe to the Office of the State Comptroller of \$177,166. The staff are anticipated to be one Deputy Elections Director, two Staff Attorneys, and one Elections Officer.

Additionally, the amendment requires a municipality to provide language-related assistance in voting and elections if SOTS determines, based on the American Community Survey results or data of similar quality, that the municipality meets certain criteria. Additional costs to the SOTS will be dependent on the number of municipalities that meet

these criteria and may be up to \$25,000 annually. Under Federal law, ten municipalities currently meet these criteria as of the most recent census². The amendment adjusts this to include community commonality criterion that may narrow the cost from the original bill. Municipalities are also required to hold public hearings to address potential violations that may induce additional costs for municipalities.

The State Elections Enforcement Commission, The Attorney General and certain parties are allowed under this amendment to bring an action in the Superior Court in the district of an alleged violation. This is not anticipated to result in a fiscal impact to the state or municipalities.

The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation.

The preceding Fiscal Impact statement is prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for the purposes of information, summarization and explanation and does not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

² [Federal Register, 2021 Report](#)