

Judiciary Committee JOINT FAVORABLE REPORT

Bill No.: HB-5469
AN ACT CONCERNING A STUDY OF THE CIVIL PROCEDURE LAWS OF THIS
Title: STATE.
Vote Date: 3/31/2022
Vote Action: Joint Favorable
PH Date: 3/25/2022
File No.:

***Disclaimer:** The following JOINT FAVORABLE Report is prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and does not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose.*

SPONSORS OF BILL:

Judiciary Committee

REASONS FOR BILL:

The bill creates a working group to study the laws of civil procedure of Connecticut. The group is to be made of (1) appointment by the speaker of the House of Representatives; (2) one appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate; (3) one appointed by the majority leader of the House of Representatives; (4) one appointed by the majority leader of the Senate; (5) one appointed by the minority leader of the House of Representatives; and (6) one appointed by the minority leader of the Senate. This group is to submit its findings and recommendations not later than January 1, 2023.

RESPONSE FROM ADMINISTRATION/AGENCY:

State of Connecticut Division of Public Defender Services, Office of Chief Public Defender, Legal Counsel, Deborah Del Prete Sullivan

The Office of Chief Public Defender (OCPD) supports the bill. They request that the Chief Public Defender be added to the membership of the group as they carry out their charge to study the civil procedures of the state. OCPD also requests that the committee consider the inclusion of the Division of Criminal Justice in the membership of the group. Having substantial experience in the Connecticut courts, OCPD has a unique perspective on civil procedures in the state and requests to be included in any group participating in the study prescribed by this legislation.

NATURE AND SOURCES OF SUPPORT:

None Expressed.

NATURE AND SOURCES OF OPPOSITION:

None Expressed.

OTHER:

CT Resident, David Godbout

Mr. Godbout opposed the bill, stating that the virtual committee process constitutes a violation of his Fourth Amendment rights.

Reported by: Luke Tressy

Date: 04/11/2022