

3/14/21

RE: SB1024 SB1026 SB1027 HB6017 HB6611 HB6612 HB6613

NO to State Mandated Zoning and YES to maintaining Local Control and Municipal Home Rule

Planning And Development Committee

Esteemed Committee Members:

I wish to register my strong objection to SB1024, SB1026, SB1027 HB6611, HB6612, HB6613

My first issue is the obvious and shocking State Overreach that is blatantly displayed in these bills. State authority must not override local zoning and land use matters. One size does not fit all.

Whoever wrote these bills seems to assume that municipalities are not working to improve housing options and opportunities on their own. The procedures and regulations on these bills are onerous and burdensome (typical of Big Government). I do not see fairness. I do not see "equity" I do not see "unity".

I do not see equality as defined by our Constitution

I see huge burdens being put on our Towns.

Put it all together and I see a wish list come true for Desegregate CT.

Connecticut is not segregated. To say so is an insult to the good people of this state of all colors, races and creeds. This is what causes unneeded division

We do not want you to run our towns and cities and if we did (which we do not) why would we since you cannot effectively run what you were elected to.

Finally, these bills are eerily close to what I read in the **Biden-Sanders Unity Task Force Recommendations published in September of 2020**

Sincerely

Eugene Williams

Bristol

