



NASW

National Association of Social Workers / Connecticut Chapter

2139 Silas Deane Highway
Suite 205
Rocky Hill, CT 06067
(860) 257-8066

Kurt Fuchs, LCSW, President
Stephen A Karp, LMSW, Executive Director
naswct@naswct.net

H.B. No. 5417 (RAISED): *AN ACT CONCERNING END-OF-LIFE CARE.*

March 20th, 2018

Respectfully submitted by: Stephen A Karp, LMSW

The National Association of Social Workers, Connecticut Chapter, or NASW/CT, supports **House Bill 5417**. We are a professional organization representing over 2,600 social workers in the state of Connecticut. Our professional Code of Ethics stresses the Dignity and Worth of a Person, with a key ethical responsibility of respecting a person's self-determination-that is- the right and ability an individual has to make their own decisions.

As a profession, we are dedicated to honoring the right of self-determination for all individuals. Just as we join with consumers during earlier stages of life, to work towards the objectives and goals they would like to accomplish, so must we join with them in the later stages of life, according to what their needs are. We are uniquely positioned to help this population, due to our professional practice of working with the person in their environment. We see the whole person, culturally, socially, emotionally, physically, and mentally. We take a holistic approach of meeting the consumer where they are.

As social workers we navigate the complex issues of proper end-of-life care. The reason such concerns are so complex is because, not only is the inevitable loss of a loved one a painful experience regardless of other factors, but there are also a number of diverse cultural and social perspectives on end-of-life care. It is our practice that a person's ability to make their own decisions, to use their own personal self-determination, is what guides the path of physical and mental health treatment, or lack thereof. It is our professional philosophy that a person cannot be forced to get treatment; the final decision for treatment rests with the consumer, not with the service provider. Likewise, the decision to end one's life belongs with a competent consumer as outlined in HB 5417.

We would also like to stress that the terms "aid in dying" and "assisted suicide" are not interchangeable. Recently, New Mexico's Supreme Court ruled in favor of aid in dying in the case of *Morris v. New Mexico*. The New Mexico Psychological Association filed an

amicus brief in support of aid in dying, and on page four of their briefing, they state: “Suicidal ideology arises from impaired cognition of temporary problems that are actually treatable; Aid in Dying, on the other hand, arises from acute cognition of physical conditions that are truly incurable” (<http://www.aclu-nm.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/Morris-Brief-final.pdf>). This is a medical distinction, grounded in research, science, and professional medical conclusions.

We likewise believe that the safeguards that are in place in this legislation will be effective. Requiring time between requests and being stringent as to independent witnesses to the requests, mitigates abuse that might arise. We also support language within the bill that would ensure that no one other than the terminally ill individual may make the request, which will likewise severely decrease the potential for abuse. We find that the additional step, where appropriate, of counseling to be an important additional protection. We support the language that disqualifies an individual if they are not mentally competent to understand the gravity of their decision. We believe the fear of abuse to be a very human fear to have, but it is also unfounded. The research simply does not support that fear.

We have an obligation to the people we serve to do what is right by them, and to properly honor their decisions. In order to achieve this goal, we need as many tools as possible. A law allowing Aid in Dying would create one more tool of comfort and choice to those who bring us into their lives in one of nature’s most intimate times.

This bill must move forward, to help us provide our consumers with one more avenue of care that they may desire. We all wish to live with dignity; it is only fair we also have the opportunity to Die with Dignity as well. Thank you for your consideration of this testimony to vote favorably on HB 5417.